JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM **IDENTIFICATION FORM**

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY:

FBI

RECORD NUMBER:

124-10285-10246

RECORD SERIES:

HO

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: CR 105-206714-3

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR: FBI

FROM:

SAC, NY

TO:

DIRECTOR, FBI

TITLE:

eleased under the John

Kennedy

Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992

(44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW 54463 Date:

10-12-2017

DATE:

10/16/1970

PAGES: 2

SUBJECT:

SBB, RES, EMP, ASSOC, TRA, TELCAL, SUBSCRIBERS, CP AFFIL

DOCUMENT TYPE:

PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

ORIGINAL

CLASSIFICATION:

Secret

NEW

CLASSIFICATION:

REVIEW DATE:

09/01/1998

<u>UPDATE</u> DATE:

STATUS

Redact

RESTRICTIONS:

JFK Act 6 (1)(B)

JFK Act 6 (1)(C)

COMMENTS:

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 1982 EDITION
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
UNITED STATES
ERNMENT

Memorandum

DIRECTOR, FBI

CONTENTIAL

DATE: 10/16/70

SAC, NEW YORK

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASS! FIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE.

SUBJECT:

of State of

GARY NOSS IS-R (Bufile 105-206714) (NYfile 105-110005)

OTIS GILETTE HILL IS-R (Bufile 105-206713) (NYfile 105-110004)

ReBulet, 10/8/70.

Classified by 50685W rule
Declassify on: QADR GFK

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF Classification
DATE -3/6/78 Afflass

O

Regarding the instructions and provisions set out in the above referenced letter, the following information is set forth:

Regarding the possibility of (VIKTOR LESIOVSKI) having been in New Mexico in the last two years, reference is made to Albuquerque teletype 4/19/69 and airtel of 5/8/69, with information confirming his presence in Santa Fe on 4/18/69. At that time, he had travelled alone and dined at the home of SAMUEL BALLEN of Santa Fe who is the President of the Corporation that owns the La Fonda Hotel at which he stayed. It is possible that the above captioned subjects may@also have been present at BALLEN's home that particular evening.

As a matter of interest, it is noted that LESIOVSKI had returned from the Soviet Union on 6/26/69. The phone calls to the above subject in question were made on 7/11 and 12/69. The original phone records furnished by NY 7400 S were again reviewed and the additional pertinent information was obtained. As previously noted, all of these calls in question were made from Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts. The bill reflects that the call made on 7/11/69 (Friday-to HILL) was a direct dial call and lasted for approximately two minutes.

(5) Bureau (RM) (1-105-99311) (LESIOVSKI) (5) 3-Albuquerque (RM) (1-105-2091) (NOSS) (1-105-2092) (HILL)

4-New York HP9:jv 590CT271970 EX-1111

Classified by 1359
Exempt from \$38, Category 3
Date of Declassification Indofinite

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Saving

-001-12-113

NW 54463 DocId:32304234 Page 2

A. C.

-SECRET



NY 105-110005

The call made on 7/12/69 (Saturday-to NOSS) was a collect call and consequently not direct dial and was approximately seven minutes in length. (λ

It is noted that another phone call was also made on 7/12/70 to Greenwich, Connecticut. Investigation has established that this call was made to a phone subscribed to by JOSEPH HYMAN FILNAR (Bufile 100-2341) and to DORIS JONES FILNAR (Bufile 100-410630). The FILNARs are former subjects of the NYO with long time CP affiliations. As a matter of interest, all the phone numbers furnished by NY 7400 shown to unquestionably have been made by the subject.

NY 7400 Stwas contacted on 10/13/70, relative to the above matters and was unable to furnish any observations of value other than the fact that [LESIOVSKI] is seemingly personally acquainted with every section of the US through travel. The NYO has no observations on the significance of the age difference of the subjects or the sequence of the calls.

It is noted that HILL is formerly from Houston, Texas, and in any anticipated contact with him, this background might be utilized as an entrepretext. The pretext entre of NOSS is considerably easier because of his background of employment by the University of California.

It is felt that this facet of NOSS' background should be further explored. Both pretexts could be of the nature of a survey of leading members of the community to determine if they have been experiencing any contacts with persons representing business or cultural interests of the Soviet Union or Satellite countries. The NYO does not feel that interviews of the subjects will endanger the security of NY (7400) Shecause of the unusual nature of the original information and how it was furnished and subsequently determined.

-2-